

Message

---

**From:** Moriarty, Thomas [Moriarty.Thomas@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 3/21/2014 2:35:33 PM  
**To:** jfrazier@psu.edu; David Fischer [david.fischer@bayer.com]  
**Subject:** RE: Revised draft - Chapter 8 conclusions  
**Attachments:** image001.png

Jim,

---

From: jimfrazier99@gmail.com [jimfrazier99@gmail.com] on behalf of Jim Frazier [jff2@psu.edu]  
Sent: Monday, March 10, 2014 11:30 AM  
To: David Fischer  
Cc: Jim Frazier; Moriarty, Thomas  
Subject: Re: Revised draft - Chapter 8 conclusions

Dear Dave and Tom,

The modified Chapter 8 conclusions paragraph that I am supporting includes the same topics that were presented at the Pellston conference for our lab group and presented at the SEATAC conference in Nov, 2011 and in the first draft of the chapter. I have attached the powerpoint slides from the SEATAC meeting for your reference. The conclusion section for chapter 8 should reflect these same topics which I have attempted to include in the modified draft below. I am certainly open to your suggested wording changes, but the topics listed should be included for consistency with our group discussion and with previous efforts to communicate these.

- \* Harmonizing of Tier1 tests
  1. Separate Tier 1 for sprayed vs. seed/soil chemicals
  2. Adult contact LD 50 for AI's and Formulations in parallel
  
- \* New candidate Tier 1 & 2 tests for adoption
  1. Tier 1 Sprayed for Adults given
  2. Tier 1 Seed/Soil Adults and Larvae given
  3. Tier 1 Seed/Soil Adults given
  
- New candidate Tier 1 & 2 Tests for Adoption
  4. Larval in vitro chronic method
  5. Chronic adult test
  6. Recommended new Tier 2 tests for adults and larvae using above
  7. Recommended higher tier tests
  
- \* Sub-lethal tests requiring further development through high priority research
  8. Contact for adults of non-Apis bees
  9. Chronic adult and larval feeding on non-Apis bees
  10. Sub-lethal tests on behavior and development for non-Apis bees
  11. Candidate tests for protocol development through high priority research on Apis

I think the modified conclusions paragraph that you included does not adequately reflect these points, so I give you yet another version that I think is closer to including the above key points, yet is short in length.

Please advise on your edited version. I am glad to know that the final versions will be circulated among the participants one more time for approval in principal.

Regards,  
Jim

On Fri, Mar 7, 2014 at 6:08 PM, David Fischer <david.fischer@bayer.com<mailto:david.fischer@bayer.com>> wrote:  
Jim,

Take a look at the attached which Tom and I have edited from your original draft. Let us know if this is going to work, or make additional edits, etc.

We talked to the publisher and we can drop this in to replace the current version. Would like to get this done on Monday, then we can get a new pdf proof from them and circulate it to the full list of coauthors for their review and concurrence.

Have a great weekend!

Dave

---

David L. Fischer, Ph.D.  
Environmental Toxicology and Risk Assessment

[cid:image002.png@01CEB90B.FB8A3280]

Environmental Safety  
Development North America  
Bayer CropScience, LP  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709  
Phone: 919-549-2843<tel:919-549-2843>  
david.fischer@bayer.com<mailto:david.fischer@bayer.com>

---

The information contained in this e-mail is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s) and may be confidential, proprietary, and/or legally privileged. Inadvertent disclosure of this message does not constitute a waiver of any privilege. If you receive this message in error, please do not directly or indirectly use, print, copy, forward, or disclose any part of this message. Please also delete this e-mail and all copies and notify the sender. Thank you.

For alternate languages please go to <http://bayerdisclaimer.bayerweb.com>

---